



## Magnetar emergence in a peculiar GRB 230307A from a compact star merger

#### Hui Sun



National Astronomical Observatories, CAS

Einstein Probe Science Center



On behalf of EP team and many collaborators: Yuan Liu (NAO, CAS), Weimin Yuan (NAO, CAS), Bing Zhang (UNLV), Binbin Zhang (NJU), Shaolin Xiong (IHEP, CAS), Jun Yang (NJU), Chenwei Wang (IHEP, CAS), Ye Li (PMO, CAS), He Gao (BNU), Xuefeng Wu (PMO, CAS), Dong Xu (NAO, CAS), Wenxiong Li (NAO, CAS), Xiaofeng Wang (THU), Liangduan Liu (CCNU), Qinyu Wu (NAO, CAS)...

MAXI 15 Year Workshop | 12-14, Dec. 2024





 $\diamond$  Introduction

♦ X-ray transients powered by magnetar from binary neutron star merger

- ♦ Magnetar emergence in a peculiar GRB 230307A
- $\diamond$  Fast X-ray transients discovered by EP

♦ EP240315a & EP240414a



## Einstein Probe (Tianguan)



The Einstein Probe Mission Yuan et al. 2022 Handbook of X-ray and Gamma-ray Astrophysics

Weimin Yuan's talk

## GRB 230307A by GECAM and LEIA

Sun et al. 2024, arXiv: 2307.05689 National Science Review, in press

Heyang Liu's talk

#### Launched in July 2022 FoV ~ 300 deg<sup>2</sup>

MAXI 15 Year Workshop | 12-14, Dec. 2024 | H. Sun

Refs: Zhang et al. 2022, Ling et al. 2023



GECAM

6 keV – 6 MeV





1 deg

The BNS merger may have various outcomes, depending on the NS equation of state and the mass of merger remnant.



Rosswog et al. 2000, 2014, Rezzolla et al. 2010, 2011, Dai et al. 2006, Zhang 2013, Yu et al. 2013, Gao et al. 2013, 2016, Lasky et al. 2014



- Magnetar-powered X-ray emission: last for a few hundred seconds & wider solid angle
- Early X-ray detection can play a unique role in differentiating the post-merger products and provide strong constraint on the NS EoS in the case of joint GW detection.

Dai et al. 2006, Fan & Gao 2006, Zhang 2013, Sun et al. 2017,2019

## Internal plateau in short GRBs

Internal plateau with decay slopes of -2 or steeper, implying a magnetar origin.
 Observed in the afterglows, no direct signatures in the prompt emission.



Rowlinson et al. 2010

Lü et al. 2015

## SGRB-less X-ray transients in archival data



NO associated GRB/GW detection =>smoking gun evidence is still lacking

counterpart of GRB 230307A



 $\Rightarrow$  Large off-set (36.6kpc) from its host galaxy at z=0.065 2 GRB 230307A Afterglow/kilonova AT2017gfo @ z=0.065 (K) JWST/NIRCAM AT2017gfo @ z=0.065 (i) 22 AT2017gfo @ z=0.065 (4.5) 230307AK 23 230307A i 230307A F444W 24 AB-mag

Host galaxy

z=0.065

F444W

25

26

27

28

29

10

 $\Rightarrow$  Long (T<sub>90,y</sub> ~ 40s) and extremely bright detected on 7 March 2023



The multi-wavelength

Levan et al. 2023, Nature

F277W

F356W

10"

F115W

F150W

♦ Kilonova signature detected by JWST

Yang et al. 2024, Nature

MAXI 15 Year Workshop | 12-14, Dec. 2024 | H. Sun

20

30

40

Time since burst (days)

60

70

80



#### Duration (s) Isotropic energy (erg) С 10 Type I GRBs Type I GRBs 25 Type II GRBs Type II GRBs 10 $s^{-1}$ )

star merger origin

Type I: compact

1055

100

Type II: massive star core collapse origin

Zhang et al. 2009

#### The burst's placement on various correlation diagrams is consistent with the type I GRBs, as suggested by its association with a kilonova.

MAXI 15 Year Workshop | 12-14, Dec. 2024 | H. Sun



**a** 40

Variability 10

Minir 10

Peak luminosity (erg

10 10<sup>50</sup> 10<sup>4§</sup> \*

Observed Properties	GRB 230307A
Gamma-Ray [10–1000 keV]:	
Duration (s)	$41.52\pm0.03$
Effective amplitude	$1.23\pm0.07$
Minimum variability timescale (ms)	9.35
Rest-frame spectral lag*(ms)	$1.6^{+1.4}_{-1.2}$
Spectral index $\alpha_1$	$-0.92^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$
Spectral index $\alpha_2$	$-1.274\substack{+0.005\\-0.008}$
Spectral index $\beta$	$-3.85^{+0.03}_{-0.09}$
Break energy $E_{\rm b}$ (keV)	$24^{+3}_{-2}$
Peak energy $E_{\rm p}$ (keV)	$1052^{+16}_{-8}$
Peak flux ( $\operatorname{erg cm}^{-2} \operatorname{s}^{-1}$ )	$4.26^{+0.08}_{-0.07} \times 10^{-4}$
Total fluence ( $ m erg~cm^{-2}$ )	$(3.10 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$
Peak luminosity ( $ m erg~s^{-1}$ )	$4.64^{+0.09}_{-0.08}  imes 10^{51}$
Isotropic energy (erg)	$(3.18 \pm 0.01)  imes 10^{52}$
Soft X-Ray [0.5–4 keV]:	
Duration (s)	$199.6\substack{+5.1\\-2.2}$
Spectral index $\alpha$	$-1.70\substack{+0.06\\-0.06}$
Peak flux ( $ m erg~cm^{-2}~s^{-1}$ )	$3.6^{+0.6}_{-0.5}  imes 10^{-7}$
Total fluence ( $ m erg~cm^{-2}$ )	$2.24^{+0.07}_{-0.06}  imes 10^{-5}$
Peak luminosity ( $ m erg~s^{-1}$ )	$3.9^{+0.6}_{-0.5} imes10^{48}$
Isotropic energy (erg)	$2.44^{+0.07}_{-0.06}  imes 10^{50}$
Host Galaxy:	
Redshift	0.065
Half-light radius (kpc)	4.0
Offset (kpc)	36.60
Normalized offset	9.2
Probability of chance coincidence	0.11
Associations:	
Kilonova	Yes
Supernova	No





MAXI 15 Year Workshop | 12-14, Dec. 2024 | H. Sun





a

- Hard X-rays and gamma-rays (GECAM, 15-6000keV)
   synchronized pulses with matching peak and dip features
- "intensity tracking" pattern

♦ Soft X-rays (LEIA, 0.5-4keV)
♦ longer duration
♦ less significant evolution within the first 100 s

#### **Comparison I**



## Distinct temporal and spectral behaviors



- Spectral shape deviates strongly from the extrapolation
- Plateau + shallower decline in soft
   X-ray

#### **Comparison II**

Log-log flux light curve

Spectral Energy Distribution

## Distinct temporal and spectral behaviors





Log-log flux light curve

#### Gamma-rays (GECAM):

- **Curvature effect:** photons from higher latitudes arrive at the observer at progressively later epochs, defining a decaying lightcurve.
- Edge effect of a narrow jet



## Magnetar emergence



- LEIA soft X-ray emission: a distinct component from the GRB
- Well explained by magnetar dipole radiation model
- > Consistent with internal plateau but displays the whole light curve from the burst onset
- Direct evidence of a magnetar from binary compact star merger





## Fast X-ray transients detected with EP-WXT



### Since the launch, EP has detected around 80 X-ray transients with high S/N





Dongyue Li's talk



 $\diamond$  Redshift z=4.859

-5°

Declination

-10°

-15°

145°

**WXT** 

 $\Rightarrow$  Intense activity before y-ray detection

Marked difference in LC of soft X-ray and hard  $X/\gamma$  rays

T90 (WXT) =  $1034 \pm 81$  s

T90 (BAT) =  $41.6 \pm 1.6$  s; T90 (KW) =  $38 \pm 3$  s

Liu, Sun, Xu, et al. Nature Astronomy in press, arXiv:2404.16425



## EP240315a: 1<sup>st</sup> transient with measured redshift







Detectable @ z=7.5

# end to the gynamic xrouture

## EP240414a: new type of extragalactic fast X-ray transient

- Only detected in soft X-rays, no γ-ray counterpart
- L\_x, p = 1.3e48 erg/s
- Very soft energy spectrum Ep < 1.3 keV
- Associated of a Type Ic-BL SN 2024gsa (z = 0.4)
- A weak relativistic jet that interacts with an extended shell surrounding the progenitor star





Sun et al. 2024, submitted arXiv: 2410.02315

MAXI 15 Year Workshop | 12-14, Dec. 2024 | H. Sun

associated HL GRB

vpe I GRB

105

1052



- GRB 230307A: a peculiar, bright GRB with an extended X-ray emission component, signifying a magnetar central engine
- EP240315a: soft X-ray prompt emission (possible new insight into GRB central engine activity)
- EP240414a: a new type of X-ray transient associated with core-collapse of massive stars
- ♦ EP/LEIA has shed new light on the study of GRBs and fast X-ray transients.

## Thank you for your attention!

<u>email: hsun@nao.cas.cn</u>